

The SAFRAN-ISBA-MODCOU hydrometeorological model applied over France

Florence Habets, Aaron Boone, Jean-Louis Champeaux, Pierre Etchevers, Laurent Franchisteguy, Etienne Leblois, Emmanuel Ledoux, Patrick Le Moigne, Eric Martin, Sophie Morel, et al.

To cite this version:

Florence Habets, Aaron Boone, Jean-Louis Champeaux, Pierre Etchevers, Laurent Franchisteguy, et al.. The SAFRAN-ISBA-MODCOU hydrometeorological model applied over France. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 2008, 113 (D06113), pp.18. 10.1029/2007JD008548. meteo-00274446

HAL Id: meteo-00274446 <https://meteofrance.hal.science/meteo-00274446v1>

Submitted on 18 Apr 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

¹ The SAFRAN-ISBA-MODCOU hydrometeorological ² model applied over France

Journal of Geophysical Research, vol. \mathcal{I} , \mathcal{I} , \mathcal{I} , \mathcal{I} , \mathcal{I} , \mathcal{I}

F. Habets, ¹ A. Boone, ² J.L Champeaux², P. Etchevers³, L. Franchistéguy, ² E.

Leblois, 4 E. Ledoux, 5 P. Le Moigne, 2 E. Martin², S. Morel, 6 J. Noilhan, 2 P. Quintana Seguí, 2 F. Rousset-Regimbeau, 2 P. Viennot⁵

- ³ 1GAME/CNRM (Météo-France, CNRS) Toulouse, France, now at UMR-Sisyphe 7619 (Uni-
- ⁴ versit Paris VI, CNRS) Paris, France 2GAME/CNRM (Météo-France, CNRS) Toulouse, France
- 5 3GAME/CEN (Météo-France, CNRS), Saint Martin d'Heres, France 4CEMAGREF, Lyon, France
- 6 5Centre de Geosciences (ENSMP, ParisTech), Fontainebleau, France 6DIRIC, Météo-France,

⁷ Paris, France

Florence Habets, UMR-Sisyphe 7619 - ENSMP, 35 rue St Honoré, 77305 Fontainebleau, France. (florence.habets@ensmp.fr)

 Abstract. The hydrometeorological model SIM consists in a meterological analysis system (SAFRAN), a land surface model (ISBA) and a hydrogeo- logical model (MODCOU). It generates atmospheric forcing at an hourly time step, and it computes water and surface energy budgets, the riverflow at more than 900 rivergauging stations, and the level of several aquifers. SIM was ex- tended over all of France in order to have a homogeneous nation-wide mon- itoring of the water resources: it can therefore be used to forecast flood risk and to monitor drought risk over the entire nation.

¹⁶ The hydrometeorologival model was applied over a 10-year period from 1995 $17 \text{ to } 2005$. In this paper the databases used by the SIM model are presented, ¹⁸ then the 10-year simulation is assessed by using the observations of daily stream-¹⁹ flow, piezometric head, and snow depth. This assessment shows that SIM is ²⁰ able to reproduce the spatial and temporal variabilities of the water fluxes. ²¹ The efficiency is above 0.55 (reasonable results) for 66 $\%$ of the simulated ²² rivergages, and above 0.65 (rather good results) for 36 $\%$ of them. However, ²³ the SIM system produces worse results during the driest years, which is more ²⁴ likely due to the fact that only few aquifers are simulated explicitly. The an-²⁵ nual evolution of the snow depth is well reproduced, with a square correla-²⁶ tion coefficient around 0.9 over the large altitude range in the domain. The ²⁷ streamflow observations were used to estimate the overall error of the sim-28 ulated latent heat flux, which was estimated to be less than 4 $\%$.

1. Introduction

 Interfacing a Soil Vegetation Atmosphere Transfert Scheme (SVAT) with streamflow ³⁰ routing model permits the assessment of the water and energy budgets simulated by ³¹ SVAT schemes, and the identification of their main qualities and defects. This has been ³² done extensively in order to assess global and regional climate models (Miller et al., 1994, Benoit et al., 2000), as well as in SVAT intercomparison experiments. For instance, the ³⁴ Pilps2c experiment (Wood et al., 1998, Lohmann et al., 1998) showed the importance of ³⁵ the parameterization of subgrid runoff for simulating a realistic hydrograph. The Rhone- Agg intercomparison study (Boone et al., 2004) showed that in the Alps, the SVATs ³⁷ that use explicit snow schemes (with an explicit simulation of the energy budget of the snowpack) obtain better results than those using composite snow schemes (i.e. one single energy budget for both the snow-free and snow covered part of the ground surface). Results of the DMIP1 (distributed model intercomparison model, Reed et al., 2004) show that among the participant distributed hydrological models, the few that simulated both ⁴² the water and the energy budgets (NOAH, Chen et al., 1997; VIC-3L, Liang et al., 1994; and tRIBS, Ivanov et al., 2004) obtained similar results in terms of the simulation of the riverflows as the others. Thus, although SVAT schemes were originally dedicated to providing surface energy fluxes to an atmosphere model, they are now also able to make an accurate estimation of the hydrological cycle at both short and long time scales.

 Several studies focusing on the soil moisture assimilation for numerical weather predic- tion models have used SVAT off-line simulations (i.e. uncoupled to the atmosphere) forced by observed data, in combination with satellite and/or surface atmospheric data assimi-

⁵⁰ lation to estimate mesoscale soil moisture over large areas (ELDAS, European Land Data ⁵¹ Assimilation System, Van den Hurk et al., 2005, NLDAS, North-American Land Data \sim Assimilation System, Mitchell et al., 2004). One key aspect of such studies is the retrieval ⁵³ of the best surface near-realtime atmospheric forcing. However, both studies include a ⁵⁴ retrospective period in order to test the ability of the method to compute consistent sur-⁵⁵ face fluxes and riverflow over long time periods. In NLDAS, the SVAT schemes are also ⁵⁶ coupled to a hydrological routing model in order to assess the SVAT scheme simulations ⁵⁷ of the water budget over large areas, through comparison with observed riverflows.

 The CNRM-GAME has been developing SVAT scheme and soil moisture assimilation ₅₉ techniques for over the last ten years, in order to provide surface boundary conditions to the atmosphere models. For instance, CNRM-GAME takes part in the ELDAS and CALDAS (Balsamo et al., 2006) projects using the ISBA surface scheme. It has also, in association with the Mining school of Paris, developed the SIM hydrometeorological ⁶³ model that is used both for realtime estimation of the soil moisture, and for retrospective studies of the water and energy budgets for a region covering all of France.

⁶⁵ The SIM (SAFRAN-ISBA-MODCOU) model is the combination of three independant ⁶⁶ parts: i) SAFRAN (Durand et al., 1992), which provides an analysis of the atmospheric σ forcing, ii) ISBA (Noilhan et Planton 1989, Boone et al., 1999), which computes the surface ⁶⁸ water and energy budgets, and iii) MODCOU (Ledoux et al., 1989), which computes the ⁶⁹ evolution of the aquifers and the riverflow.

 π ⁰ The SIM system was first tested for large French catchments: the Adour (Habets et π al., 1999c), the Rhone (Etchevers et al., 2001), the Garonne (Voirin-Morel, 2003) and the α Seine basins (Rousset et al., 2004), and the Maritsa river basin in Bulgaria (Artinyan et

 π al., 2007). It has been used to quantify the influence of the snowpack, groundwater, soil ⁷⁴ moisture, and urbanised areas on certain flood events of the Seine basin (Rousset et al., $75 \quad 2004$). SIM has also been used to study the evolution of the water resources in a climate ⁷⁶ change prospective (Etchevers et al., 2002, Caballero et al., 2007).

 π SIM was extended over all of France in 2002, and it has been used operationally at ⁷⁸ Meteo-France since 2003 in order to monitor the water resources at the national scale in $\eta_{\rm p}$ near real-time. In order to assess the quality of the SIM system over France, a retrospective ⁸⁰ run was made for the period 1995 to 2005, and the goal of this article is to present the ⁸¹ results of the SIM hydrometeorological model over this period. First, the SIM system ⁸² is presented, with a summary of the main innovations compared to the previous studies. ⁸³ Then, the database is presented, with a special emphasis on the atmospheric data, which ⁸⁴ is critical in terms of the quality of the entire system. The assessment is based on observed ⁸⁵ riverflow, piezometric head, and snow depth. Finally, the spatial and temporal evolutions ⁸⁶ of the water and energy fluxes on the main basins are presented.

2. The SIM hydrometeorological model

⁸⁷ The SIM (SAFRAN-ISBA-MODCOU) system consists in 3 independent modules (figure $(88 \ 1):$

• The SAFRAN analysis system (Durand et al., 1992) was developed in order to provide an analysis of the atmospheric forcing in mountainous areas for the avalanche forecasting. SAFRAN analyses 8 parameters: the 10m wind speed, 2m relative humidity, 2m air temperature, cloudiness, incoming solar and atmospheric radiations, snowfall and rainfall. A detailed description and assessment of the SAFRAN analysis over France is presented $\frac{94}{94}$ in Quintana-Seguí et al., 2007, so that only the main aspects are summarized herein.

 The main hypothesis of SAFRAN is that the atmospheric variables are considered to be homogeneous over some well-defined areas, within which they can only vary according to the topography. In France, these areas correspond to the Symposium homogeneous climate zones which are used at Meteo-France for weather forecast bulletins. There are ⁹⁹ about 600 homogeneous climate zones, each with an average area around of 1000 km^2 , so that each zone contains at least two raingages and one surface meteorologic station.

 SAFRAN takes into account all of the observed data in and around the area under study. ¹⁰² For instance, there are more than 1000 meteorological stations for the 2m temperature and humidity, and more than 3500 daily raingages, which corresponds to about 6 raingages for each climate zone. For each variable analysed, an optimal interpolation method is used to assign values to given altitudes within the zone. According to the altitude of the observations, SAFRAN provides a single vertical profile of the variable within the zone with a vertical resolution of 300m.

 The analysis are computed every 6 hours, and the data are interpolated to a hourly time step.

 The incoming radiative fluxes, and the precipitation (liquid and solid) are treated differ-ently.

 The precipitation rate is estimated daily using 3500 daily raingages, and then interpolated hourly, based on the evolution of the air relative humidity (precipitation is constrained to occur when the relative humidity is high). The partition between snowfall and rainfall $_{115}$ is based on the $0.5^{\circ}C$ isotherm: the precipitation is considered as snowfall if the air ¹¹⁶ temperature is below $0.5^{\circ}C$.

 $_{117}$ The radiation scheme of Ritter and Geylen (1992) is used to compute the incoming ra- diation fluxes since there are few in-situ observations available. The method requires an estimate of the cloudiness which is analysed using, as a first guess, the operational analysis of Numerical Weather Predicition model, and in-situ observations.

 Once the vertical profile of the atmospheric parameters have been computed in each homogeneous zone, the values are interpolated in space as a function of the altitude of each gridcell within each homogeneous zone.

 • The ISBA land surface scheme (Noilhan et Planton, 1989, Noilhan and Mahfouf, 1996) is used in the NWP, research and climate models at Meteo-France. In order to fulfill all its applications, the ISBA surface scheme is quite modular. In the SIM system, the 3-layer force restore model is used (Boone et al., 1999), together with the explicit multi-layer snow model (Boone et al., 2001). Moreover, the subgrid runoff (Habets et al., 1999b) and subgrid drainage schemes (Habets et al., 1999a) are used. This last parametrisation is quite simple, and allow to indirectly take into account the impact of unresolved aquifers on the low riferflows based on a single parameter.

 The soil and vegetation parameters used by ISBA are derived from the ECOCLIMAP database (Masson et al., 2003, see section 3.2). Only two parameters in ISBA are not directly defined by the soil and vegetation classification: the subgrid runoff parameter ¹³⁵ and the subgrid drainage parameter, w_{drain} .

The subgrid runoff parameter was assigned the default value in the current study as was the case for the other SIM applications. Only the subgrid drainage parameter was calibrated in this application. In previous simulations, this subgrid parameter was either set to a default value (Habets et al., 1999a), or calibrated to optimize the Nash criteria

(Etchevers et al., 2001), or the discharge for the summer low flow period (Caballero et al., 2007). In the France application, it is calibrated using the method presented in Caballero et al., (2007) in order to sustain the observed Q10 quantile of the riverflow. The subgrid drainage parameter is simply set using the expression

$$
Q10 = \sum_{i} C_{3i} / \tau \times w_{\text{drain}} \times d_i \times S_i
$$

 $\frac{1}{136}$ where i represents the gridcells that belong to the upstream area of the rivergage under ¹³⁷ study, C_{3i} is the gravitational drainage coefficient for the gridcell i, d_i the soil depth for ¹³⁸ the gridcell i, S_i is the surface of the gridcell i that belong to the upstream area of the 139 rivergage under study, and τ a time constant of one day. In this expression, C_{3i} and $_{140}$ d_i only depend on the soil and vegetation database, and Q10 is set at each simulated ¹⁴¹ rivergage using the statistics provided over the entire observation period for each station. ¹⁴² Thus, the value of the subgrid drainage coefficient is defined using observed data and the ¹⁴³ physiographic database, and is thus unique once these databases are defined. Therefore, ¹⁴⁴ there is no iteration for the calibration, and thus, no "calibration period"

¹⁴⁵ The surface scheme is linked to the MODCOU hydrogeological model by the ISBA output ¹⁴⁶ soil water fluxes: The drainage simulated by ISBA is transfered to MODCOU as the input ¹⁴⁷ flow for the simulation of the evolution of the aquifer, while the surface runoff computed by ¹⁴⁸ ISBA is routed within the hydrographical network by MODCOU to compute the riverflow.

 • The MODCOU hydrogeological model computes the spatial and temporal evolution of the piezometric level of multilayer aquifers, using the diffusivity equation (Ledoux et al., 1989). It then computes the exchanges between the aquifers and rivers, and finally it routes ¹⁵² the surface water within the river, using a simple isochronism algorithm (Muskingum), to compute riveflows. In the SIM-France system, the riverflow is computed at a 3-hour time

 step (instead of daily as in the previous applications), and the evolution of the aquifer is computed daily.

 ISBA snowpack, soil temperature and soil moisture values are initialised using a one year spin-up (the first year is repeated twice), whereas, the initial conditions of the aquifers are taken from the Rhone and Seine basin applications.

In the next section, a short description of the database is presented.

3. Databases used

 The databases for the SIM-France application use the Lambert II projection, which has the advantage of preserving the surface area. SIM uses input data that have different spatial resolutions: a regular 8 km grid is used by SAFRAN and ISBA, and irregular embedded gridcells varying in size from 1 to 8 km are used by MODCOU (the highest resolution is associated with rivers and basin boundaries).

3.1. Hydrogeologic database

 The hydrographic network was derived from the USGS GTOPO30 elevation database at a 1 km resolution. The slope is used to derive the direction of the flow, and to compute the drainage area of each cell.

 The topography at the 8 km resolution, the river network, and the main basins are shown ¹⁶⁹ in figure 2. The river network extends over approximately 42000 km, which represents about 12% of the 194000 mesh points of the hydrographic network.

¹⁷¹ More than 900 rivergages are taken into account in the riverlfow simulations, with an ¹⁷² upstream area ranging from 240 km^2 to 112000 km^2 .

 Currently the aquifers of only two basins have been simulated: the 3 aquifer layers of $_{174}$ the Seine basin, and the single aquifer layer of the Rhone basin (figure 3). The aquifer $_{175}$ parameters were calibrated by Gomez et al., (2003), and Golaz et al., (2001), respectively, and were already used in previous applications of SIM for these basins.

 However, aquifers are more widespread in France. The main aquifers defined in the French Hydrogeological Reference database (BD RHF, http://sandre.eaufrance.fr) and those simulated are shown in figure 3. In those areas where an aquifer is present but not explicitly simulated (grey shaded areas in figure 3), the subgrid drainage parameter was calibrated in order to sustain the summer riverflows. Everywhere else, the parameter is set to 0.

3.2. Soil and Vegetation parameters for ISBA

 The ISBA parameters are derived from the ECOCLIMAP database (Masson et al., 2003). However, an improved version of the ECOCLIMAP database was developed for the SIM application.

 This database uses a Lambert II projection at a 1 km resolution for both the vegetation ¹⁸⁷ and the soil parameters (as opposed to approximately 10km for the soil map in the global ECOCLIMAP database).

 The vegetation classification (figure 4) is based on the Corine Land Cover CLC 1990 database, associated with a climate map (Masson et al., 2003). This database is quite accurate for the forested areas, vineyards and urban areas, but it does not distinguish the various crops that are aggregated into a single class and distributed over very large domains. In order to be able to distinguish winter and summer crops, as was done in the Adour study (Habets et al., 1999b), it was decided to better define the crop classes, using

 the 10-day NDVI (Normalised Vegetation Index) archive of SPOT/VEGETATION for the year 2000 at a 1km resolution. Using differences in the NDVI profiles, the crop classes $_{197}$ of Corine were split into 20 subsets (referred as C1, C2, .. to C20 in the following). The distribution of these crop types within the main basins is presented figure 4. Among the ¹⁹⁹ large basins, the Seine basin is the most cultivated, with 60 $\%$ of the surface covered by crops. The Loire and Adour-Garonne basins have about the same crop surfaces (54 and $_{201}$ 51 %, respectively), whereas the Rhone basin is the least cultivated large basin (31%), primarily because the eastern part of the basin is mountainous.

 The crop partition is different within each basin: the 2 dominant crop types represent ₂₀₄ half of the cultivated area of the Seine basin, while in the other basins, it represents only $_{205}$ one fifth (figure 4).

 The 10-day NDVI cycles of the dominant crop types are presented in figure 5. The NDVI cycle cannot be used to directly identify the type of the crop class, however the class C7, which is dominant in the Adour-Garonne basin with a maximum NDVI from July to September, is representative of summer crops, especially Maize. In contrast, the C1 class, with a very narrow cycle, and which is mostly present in the North of France, is associated with winter crops, such as wheat, as well as the classes C8 and C9 dominant in the Seine and Loire basins.

 In order to derive the ISBA vegetation parameters, the ECOCLIMAP correspondence tables were used. The annual LAI (leaf area index) cycle is based on the 10-day NDVI ₂₁₅ tendencies, with the extreme values of the LAI fixed for each vegetation type (from 0 to 4 ²¹⁶ m^2/m^2 for crops). Then the 10-day evolution of the vegetation fraction, roughness length, ²¹⁷ and albedo are derived using the formulations given by Masson et al., 2003. For the other

 vegetation types, the annual cycle was re-computed at a 10-day time step instead of the 219 monthly time step used in the ECOCLIMAP global database.

 The soil map used in the Ecoclimap France database is taken from the INRA 1km ²²¹ soil geographical database (Base de données géographique des Sols de France -BDGSF- www.gissol.fr/programme/bdgsf/bdgsf.php). Only the percentages of sand and clay are $_{223}$ used to define the soil parameters for ISBA (Noilhan and Lacarrère, 1995).

3.3. Atmospheric database

 Data from more than 1000 surface meteorological stations and more than 3500 daily raingages were analysed by the SAFRAN system. SAFRAN has been used to produce an atmospheric database at an hourly time step over the France domain, for the period starting in August 1995 and ending in July 2005. A detailed presentation and assessment of the 8 variables analysed by SAFRAN for the years 2001-2002 and 2004-2005 can be found in Quintana-Segu´ı et al., 2007. Therefore, only the main characteristics of the 10-year precipitation database are presented here.

²³¹ The mean annual precipitation over the 10-year period is shown figure 6. As can be expected, precipitation is abundant in the mountains, and also, along the Atlantic coast. The south-eastern border of the Massif Central experiences heavy rainfall primarily in the fall season which leads to significant annual precipitation totals.

 The Seine and Loire basins in the North receive less precipitation (802mm/year 236 and 835 $mm/year$, respectively) than the southern basins that are more mountainous (944mm/year and 1186mm/year for the Garonne and Rhone basins, respectively). The year 2000-2001 is the wettest for all of the basins, and the year 2001-2002 is the driest for all basins except the Seine (encapsulated graphs in figure 6). Snowfall, is shown in the

²⁴⁰ top of the histogram in figure 6. It is a key component of the Rhone basin precipitation $_{241}$ and comprises 29% of the total. Despite the presence of the Pyrenees mountain range, ²⁴² snowfall is less significant in the Adour-Garonne basin, where it represents only 5.7% of ²⁴³ the total precipitation. It represents less than 3% in the two other basins.

²⁴⁴ The monthly cycle of precipitation presents a similar pattern for almost all the basins on ²⁴⁵ average over the 10 years. Precipitation has two maxima in the year: one in winter, and ²⁴⁶ one in spring (figure 7). The cycle is less pronounced for the northern basins, where the ²⁴⁷ average rainfall ranges from 1.58 to 3.2 mm/day in March and November, respectively, ²⁴⁸ than in the southern basins where it ranges from 2 to 5 mm/day .

4. Evaluation of the hydrometeorological modelling

 The 10-year integration of the SIM system was assessed using various data, either local or spatially integrated, and either instantaneous or averaged over a certain time period. ²⁵¹ This section presents the comparison of the simulation with the daily observed riverflows, the piezometric levels and the snow depths.

4.1. Comparison with observed riverflow

 Figure 8 presents the daily riverflows at the rivergages located closest to the outlet of the ²⁵⁴ 4 largest rivers of France which are not affected by the tide (the location of the rivergages can be seen figure 10). The observed riverflows are plotted using dark circles, and the simulation is represented by the continuous lines. The Garonne at Lamagistere has the $_{257}$ smallest upstream area (50430 km^2), and logically has the lowest average discharge, but it has higher flood peaks than the Seine basin at Poses (wich has an upstream area of 65686 km^2). This is due to the fact that the Garonne encompasses part of the Pyrennees

 and Massif Central mountains, where heavy orographically enhanced precipitation can occur, while the Seine basin overlays a widespread aquifer, which tends to reduce the winter flood peaks and to sustain the summer low flow. The Loire at Montjean sur Loire, ²⁶³ which has the largest upstream area $(110356 \ km^2)$ has an average discharge almost two times lower than that of the Rhone at Beaucaire, which has a smaller contributive area $(96412 \ km^2)$. This results because the Rhone basin encompasses part of several mountain ranges, notably the Alps. The Rhone rivers had 2 large flood events during the period under investigation, in December, 2002, and December, 2003. Unfortunately, observed discharge data have not been available at Beaucaire since 2003.

 SIM is capable of representing the dynamic of the flows measured at these 4 rivergages. However, some deficiencies can be seen. For instance, SIM tends to underestimate the ₂₇₁ summerflow of the Rhone at Beaucaire. This is mainly due to the fact that the model does not take into account the numerous dams used for hydro-electricity power in the Alps which tend to sustain the summerflow. As for the Garonne and Loire rivers, the recession of the flood peaks are too fast in the model. This is partially due to the fact that the main water tables are not simulated in those 2 basins.

 To quantify the ability of the SIM system to represent the daily riverflows, two statistical ²⁷⁷ results are used: the discharge ratio (q_{sim}/q_{obs}) and the efficiency, E, (Nash and Suttcliff, 1972). These statistical criteria were computed at a daily time scale over the full period with available observations. The SIM system is able to simulate the riverflows at the outlet of these 4 main basins with a good accuracy, corresponding to an efficiency ranging ²⁸¹ from 0.68 to 0.88, and an error on the discharge ranging from -10% to $+6\%$.

 Figure 9 presents the results obtained by SIM over 610 rivergages with available data, as a function of the surface of the rivergage basin. Each circle represents a rivergage, and the linear regression line is shown (it appears as an exponential, due to the log x-axis ²⁸⁵ unit). Of course, there are more stations with a small area (below $1000km^2$), than with ²⁸⁶ a large area (above 10000 km^2). The index of agreement (Willmot, 1981) is above 0.8 for most of the rivergages, and there are few river gages with an index of agreement below 0.6. In general, the bad results for these stations are due to the fact that either the river is influence significantly by dams (e.g. Durance and Isere rivers), or that they are have non-negligible interaction with a large aquifer that is not explicitly taken into account $_{291}$ (e.g. Somme and Leyre rivers). There is a clear link between the quality of the simulation and the surface of the river basin: Figure 9 shows that the average efficiency is close to 0.5 for the small riverstations, while it is around 0.7 for the larger ones. Moreover, there is a larger ratio of rivergages with a very good efficiency (above 0.8) for the larger basins. There are several factors that lead to the overall better results for the large basins. One key point is that the forcing data has larger errors for small basins (essentially the precipitation). In the large basins, some errors in the upstream basin can be compensated for downstream, leading to overall better results. The same kind of compensation can occur for the description of the geological and surface properties. An additional reason could be that the human activities (dams, derivation, pumping, ...) can have relatively ³⁰¹ larger effect on the small basin discharge. Finally, larger errors may be due also to the ³⁰² faster hydrologic response of those basins which cannot be reproduced by the relatively simple river routing model used herein.

³⁰⁴ The encapsulated graph presents the histogramm of the efficiency. The maximum of ³⁰⁵ the histogramm is reached for an efficiency between 0.6 and 0.7 (121 rivergages). 101 ³⁰⁶ rivergages have an efficiency above 0.7, and only 20 have values above 0.8. That implies ³⁰⁷ that more than 36% of the rivergages was associated with a daily efficiency over the full ³⁰⁸ period that can be considered as "rather good" ($E > 0.6$), and 16\% as "fair" ($E > 0.7$). ³⁰⁹ Another 30 % of the rivergages have an efficiency that can be considered as reasonable 310 $(0.55 < E < 0.65)$. There are 97 stations with an efficiency below 0 (very poor, not shown ³¹¹ in figure 9), which represents 15% of the rivergages, and is comparable to the large scale ³¹² study by Henriksen et al. (2003). This subset includes all of the rivergages which are $_{313}$ significantly affected by dams.

³¹⁴ The discharge error is close to zero on average, but is more scattered for the small ³¹⁵ basins than for the larger basins. The encapsulated histogram is centered on zero, which ³¹⁶ is consistant with the results of the regression fit.

³¹⁷ Figures 10 and 11 present the spatial repartition of the efficiency and of the discharge ratio, with the results at each gage and their associated river network. As expected, the results are quite good for the main rivers. Nonetheless, some areas have poor results in terms of efficiency: notably the Alps and the Northern portion of the domain. For the Δ_{321} Alps, this is mainly due to the fact that this region is used to produce hydropower, and the natural riverflows are perturbed by numerous dams. To a lesser extent, some of the water is also used for irrigation or drinking water. Similar results were also found in previous studies in the Rhone and Garonne basins (Etchevers et al., 2001a,b,2002, Habets et al., ³²⁵ 1999, Morel 2003). In the upper mountains, there is relatively little water extraction, and most of the water is simply stored in reservoirs for hydropower. This is not the case in the

 lower Durance, where a significant part of the water is diverted for irrigation and drinking water. It can be seen in figure 11 for the Alps that although the efficiency is poor, the $\frac{329}{229}$ discharge is well estimated with an error below 10%. Poor results in the two rivers in the northern part of France are due to the fact that a large aquifer which is closely connected to the rivers is not yet simulated by SIM. The discharge is underestimated in one of the 2 rivers, and it is estimated quite well for the other one. Except for these 2 regions, the results are quite homogeneous over all of France.

 As the simulated period covers contrasting climates, it is of interest to look at the time evolution of the statistical results. In order to be able to compare the statistics from year to year, it is essential to have a homogeneous set of rivergage time series. Therefore, ³³⁷ the rivergages with more than 200 days of observations available each year were selected. Moreover, in order to be able to aggregate the results, another criteria was added: the ³³⁹ efficiency should be positive each year. There are 140 rivergages that fit these criteria. ³⁴⁰ The corresponding results are presented in figure 12 for 5 large basins, and on average ³⁴¹ for all of France. The discharge ratio and the efficiency are shown, together with their ³⁴² regression fits which give the overall tendency. The statistical results vary from year to year. In addition, they also vary from one basin to the next, but, there are some common characteristics when looking at the efficiency: the best results are obtained in the year ³⁴⁵ 2002-2003, while the worst are found in one of the 3 following years: 1995-96 2001-02 or 2004-05. The results are less homogeneous in terms of the discharge ratio. It tends ³⁴⁷ to decrease during the entire time period for the Loire and Garonne basins, leading to a reduction of the error on the Loire, and to an increase on the Garonne. There is no clear signal in the Rhone and Seine basins. Over all of the France, there is a slight tendency

 for the discharge ratio decrease, with an underestimation around 8% at the end of the ³⁵¹ period. In general, there is no clear relation between the efficiency and the error in the discharge of a given year. However, it appears that the model obtains worst results in terms of efficiency during the dryest years. This is clearly seen in figure 13 where the observed annual discharge is shown along with the resulting efficiency on the average for each of the 5 basins and for all the selected stations. The difficulty with dry periods can have several explanations: i) the low flows are sustained by the various water tables, and ³⁵⁷ only a few of them are explicitly represented in SIM ii) processes associated with dryness or low soil moisture are perhaps poorly simulated by the SIM model, and iii) part of the ³⁵⁹ error is probably due to the human management of the river (not taken into account by SIM), since both the effect of the dams, and the pumping in rivers or from the watertables ³⁶¹ have more impact during the period of low flow. However, figure 13 shows that although ³⁶² the results tend to improve when the observed discharge increases, the best results are not obtained for the wettest year.

4.2. Comparison with observed piezometric head

³⁶⁴ Piezometric head is thoroughly monitored in France, and numerous data are available. ³⁶⁵ For the Seine basin, the piezometric gages were selected in order to keep only the rep-³⁶⁶ resentative ones, i.e., those that are not impacted by pumping, and those that are not ³⁶⁷ too close to a river. Thus, 43 observation sites were chosen, with data available for the ³⁶⁸ 10 year study period. Such a selection was more difficult in the Rhone basin because ₃₆₉ the watertable is along the river: therefore only 8 gages were retained. The location of ³⁷⁰ the selected piezometric gages as well as the average bias between the simulation and the $_{371}$ observation of the piezometric head are shown in figure 14. There are some points where

 the absolute bias is above 10m, especially for the Rhone basin. However there are 20 gages for which the absolute bias is lower than 2m. One such gage is located in the Rhone ³⁷⁴ basin, and the other ones are spread over the 3 aquifer layers of the Seine basin. Figure 15 presents the comparison between observed and the simulated piezometric head for the 4 gages encircled in figure 14. The amplitude of variation of the Rhone aquifer at Genas ³⁷⁷ is rather weak, because the aquifer level is constrained by the river. For the Seine basin, the annual amplitude varies from gage to gage. However, for almost every gage, there is ₃₇₉ an increase of the piezometric head during the wet year 2000-2001, and a clear decrease in 2003-2004. These evolutions are well captured by the model.

4.3. Comparison with the observed snow depth

³⁸¹ The snow accumulation and melt are key components of the water and energy budgets. ³⁸² The comparison with observed and simulated snow depths is possible at some meteorologic ³⁸³ observing stations and at numerous mountain sites. In order to be sure of the quality of the ³⁸⁴ observed data set, only the stations that observed at least 30 days of non-zero snow depth ³⁸⁵ during the 10-year period are selected. Moreover, the comparison between observations ³⁸⁶ and the simulation are made only if the altitude of the grid cell is close to that of the ³⁸⁷ station (less than 150m difference). With this selection criteria, 505 stations with snow ³⁸⁸ depth measurements were selected. As the snow cover depends mostly on the altitude ³⁸⁹ in France, figure 16 presents the daily comparison between observed and simulated snow ³⁹⁰ depths for altitude bands. The number of station varies for each level from 19 for the ³⁹¹ upper level (above 2000m) to 179 for the level 250-750m. However, the observations are ³⁹² not available each day at all stations, so that the number of stations used to compute ³⁹³ the average varies from day to day (with a minimum of 2 stations). As expected, the

 snowpack generally lasts longer and is deeper as the altitude increases. The snowpack has large interannual variations which vary at each level. However, the plotted evolution is affected by the number of gages used to compute the average which vary each day. In 397 order to be able to estimate the temporal evolution of the snow pack, the snow depth simulated by SIM on average for all the stations selected for each level is presented in the bottom left panel of figure 16. In this figure, the same number of points are used everyday, thus leading to a real temporal evolution. The bias and the squared correlation ⁴⁰¹ between observation and simulation are given in figure 16. The model is able to reproduce $\frac{402}{402}$ the observed evolution of the snowpack. The bias is rather low on average (around 3cm ⁴⁰³ up to 10*cm* at the highest level), even if the error can be large at times. The squared correlation is low for the lowest level where the snowpack does not last long, and reaches 0.7 at the highest level. Figure 17 presents about the same data set, but on an annual basis. The annual evolution of the snow pack is well estimated by the model, with the ⁴⁰⁷ squared correlation which reaches 0.9 for all levels except the lowest one. However, there are systematic errors in the two highest levels: an underestimation of the snow depth from January to February for the level 1250m-2000m, and, in contrast an overestimation of the snow depth from September to January for the level above 2000m, and during the melting period in May-June. It is difficult to estimate how such systematic error may affect the water budget and the simulation of the streamflows, since those results are affected by ⁴¹³ the availability of the observations. For instance, it can be seen on the lower right panel that the maximum snow depth is simulated in February, whereas it appears to be in early May in the comparison with the observations for the upper level.

4.4. Water and energy budgets at the basin scale

 The simulated annual water and energy budgets can be partially assessed using the comparison between observed and simulated discharges. For that, there is a focus only on the largest subbasins, using the rivergages with the longest observation periods. Figure 18 presents the results for the 4 main basins (Rhone at Beaucaire, Seine at Paris, Garonne at Tonneins and Loire at Nantes). For these basins, the discharge error for the whole ⁴²¹ period represents $+63, +24, -15$ and $+50$ m^3/s , which corresponds to an average error in $_{422}$ mm/year of $+26,+18,-10,+14$, respectively (see Table 1). The error for the Rhone basin is the largest. This is due in part to the large anthropogenic impact, which consists in numerous dams and canals in the Durance and Isere river basins. For instance, in 2003 ⁴²⁵ in the Durance subbasin, the total quantity of water derived to sustain human activities ⁴²⁶ (irrigation, drinking water, cooling of energy plants, ...) was $37m^3/s$, which represents approximately half of the error at Beaucaire for this single subbasin (data available on the web site www.rhone-mediterrannee.eaufrance./telechargement/index.php). However, ⁴²⁹ it is difficult to estimate which part of this water is going back to the river network.

⁴³⁰ A simple estimation of the evaporation error at the basin scale can be made by assuming ⁴³¹ that all of the discharge error only results from evaporation. This implies several stong ⁴³² hypotheses: i) there is no error in the precipitation at the basin scale, ii) there is no error ⁴³³ in the observations of the riverflow iii) there is no error in terms of the estimation of the ⁴³⁴ water storage in the soil, the snowpack, the aquifers and the rivers at the annual scale, ⁴³⁵ and iv) the water storage in the dams is not significant on a annual scale. Using this ⁴³⁶ estimated error, it is possible to analyse the spatial and temporal evolution of the water ⁴³⁷ and energy budgets.

⁴³⁸ The annual evaporation is quite similar for the 4 bassins, ranging from 573 $mm/year$ on 439 average for the Seine basin to 634 $mm/year$ on average for the Garonne, with an annual ₄₄₀ amplitude of about ± 100 mm/year (which is quite smooth over the 10-year period: table ⁴⁴¹ 1). On average over the 10-year period, the estimated evaporation error represents about 442 4% of the annual flux. However it varies from year to year, and can reach 8% of the ⁴⁴³ annual evaporation and even 15% in the Rhone basin in 2000-2001 (table 1). The Rhone ⁴⁴⁴ basin is the only large basin for which the total runoff is about the same magnitude as ⁴⁴⁵ the evaporation (about 590 $mm/year$). For the other basins, the total runoff is about two ⁴⁴⁶ times lower than the evaporation. The evolution of the annual runoff is less smooth than ⁴⁴⁷ the annual evaporation and more closely follows the annual variation of the precipitation. ⁴⁴⁸ In terms of the energy budget, only the latent heat flux error can be estimated, and one ⁴⁴⁹ cannot determine how this error affects the sensible, ground heat and the net radiation ⁴⁵⁰ fluxes. Thus, the estimated latent heat flux error is presented independently of the other ⁴⁵¹ energy budget terms. This error, expressed in W/m^2 , varies from $-0.8W/m^2$ in the $G₄₅₂$ Garonne basin to $1.7W/m²$ in the Rhone basin. It is striking that the error estimated on ⁴⁵³ the latent heat flux roughly accounts for 10% of the sensible heat flux, and that they are ⁴⁵⁴ of the same order of magnitude in the Rhone basin in 2000-2001. Indeed, the averaged ⁴⁵⁵ annual sensible heat flux ranges between $15.3W/m^2$ in the Rhone basin to $19W/m^2$ in the ⁴⁵⁶ Loire basin. Its annual evolution can be rather smooth as in the Rhone basin (from 10 ⁴⁵⁷ to 20 W/m^2) or more pronounced as in the Seine basin (from 6 to 30 W/m^2). The net ⁴⁵⁸ radiation is 10 % larger in the Garonne basin than in the Seine or Rhone basins. But for ⁴⁵⁹ all of the basins, the annual evolution of the net radiation is quite smooth, with a total $_{460}$ amplitude of $\pm 6\%$.

⁴⁶¹ Figure 19 shows maps of the Bowen ratio and the ratio of the evaporation to precipi-⁴⁶² tation. The two maps show large contrasts over France. The largest value of the Bowen ⁴⁶³ ratio are along the southern Alps (where the snowfall is significant, thus limiting the ⁴⁶⁴ evaporation, but where the incoming radiation fluxes are large), along the Mediterranean ⁴⁶⁵ coast (including Corsica), and for two areas along the west coast. Half of the areas where ⁴⁶⁶ the Bowen ratio is above 0.75 correspond to areas where the average annual rainfall is ⁴⁶⁷ below 650 $mm/year$ or where the net radiation is above 80 W/m^2 . The residual is mostly ⁴⁶⁸ located in Corsica and along the eastern Mediterranean coast, and corresponds to the ⁴⁶⁹ regions where the precipitation can be intense. Here, relatively few rain events produce ⁴⁷⁰ large amounts of precipitation primarily during the fall season, and they produce large ⁴⁷¹ proportion of runoff, thereby reducing the evaporation rate. This is also the reason why ⁴⁷² the evaporation in this Mediterranean region represents less than 75% of the precipita- μ_{13} tion, even in areas where the precipitation is lower than 650 $mm/year$, as is the case for ⁴⁷⁴ instance in the "Bouches du Rhone" site indicated in figure 19. In contrast, the area in ⁴⁷⁵ the Vienne department (cf flag on the maps) has both a large value of the Bowen ratio and ⁴⁷⁶ of the ratio of the evaporation to precipitation. The other areas, where at least 75 % of ⁴⁷⁷ the precipitation evaporates, are located around the Seine basin and the Garonne Valley. 478 Such results are consistent with those obtained by Rousset et al., (2004) and Voirin-Morel ⁴⁷⁹ (2003), respectively, for different time periods than examined in the current study. ⁴⁸⁰ Figure 20 shows the time evolution of the soil wetness index for the 3 points indicated ⁴⁸¹ in figure 19. In addition to the sites in the Vienne and Bouches du Rhone departments,

⁴⁸² one site in the Creuse department was selected as being representative of a weak Bowen 483 ratio and an average E/P ratio. The 10-year average value of the fluxes for these

⁴⁸⁴ 3 sites are given in table 2. The soil wetness index is computed from the expression ⁴⁸⁵ $SWI = (w_{tot} - w_{wilt})/(w_{fc} - w_{wilt})$, where w_{tot} is the volumetric water content of the ⁴⁸⁶ simulated soil column, w_{fc} is the field capacity, and w_{wilt} the wilting point. Thus, a value ⁴⁸⁷ of the soil wetness index above 1 indicates that there is no evaporative water stress, and a ⁴⁸⁸ value of 0 indicates that plant transpiration has ceased. At Creuse site the minimum value ⁴⁸⁹ of the SWI in summer is the highest (just below 0.25 in 2003 and close to 0.5 in 1997), ⁴⁹⁰ which indicates a moderate water stress for the vegetation. On the other hand, the water ⁴⁹¹ stress is significant in summer at the Bouches du Rhone site, with a SWI below 0.1 during ⁴⁹² 4 years out of 10, and a minimal value below 0.02 reached during the exceptionally hot ⁴⁹³ and dry summer of 2003. At the Vienne site, the summer value of the SWI is around 0.17, ⁴⁹⁴ with a minimum value of 0.12 in 2005 after a dry winter. In winter time, the maximum ⁴⁹⁵ value of the SWI is below 1, meaning that there is a water stress in winter 5 years out of ⁴⁹⁶ 10 in the Bouches du Rhone site, and 2 years out of 10 in the Vienne site. Such a pattern ⁴⁹⁷ does not occur at the Creuse site.

 The encapsulated graph in figure 20 represents the mean annual evolution of the soil moisture. The Creuse and Vienne sites have similar temporal evolutions, with a drier soil at Vienne (0.55 on average) compare to Creuse (0.75 on average). The temporal ₅₀₁ evolution of the SWI is sligthly shifted in the Bouches du Rhone site, with an increase ₅₀₂ of the SWI starting early September due to significant precipitation, and the maximum value is reached in November, with a 10-year average value of 0.5.

₅₀₄ Another interesting result which can be obtained with the SIM system is the evaluation ₅₀₅ of the total volume of the water that reaches the Mediterranean sea, via the large rivers ⁵⁰⁶ but also the smallest. This is of interest since this component of the water budget of

₅₀₇ the Mediterranean sea is not well-known. The simulated hydrographic network takes into ⁵⁰⁸ account 80 rivers that flow to the Mediterranean Sea (30 are located in Corsica), and ω_9 only 30 of them have a basin larger than 250 km^2 . According to the simulation, 2287 m^3/s flows to the Mediterranean sea on average every year. 80% of this flow is from the 511 Rhone river, and 91% by the 10 largest Mediterranean rivers (2 being located in Corsica). 512 Most of those Mediterranean rivers are located in mountainous regions, characterised by ⁵¹³ a significant snow cover in winter, leading to a smaller fraction of the precipitation that ⁵¹⁴ evaporates (55% on average).

5. Conclusion

⁵¹⁵ The hydrometeorological model SAFRAN-ISBA-MODCOU (SIM) was extended to all ⁵¹⁶ of France in order to have a homogeneous estimation nationwide of the water resource. $_{517}$ The 10-year simulation was compared with daily riverflow, piezometric head, and snow ⁵¹⁸ depth observations. SIM obtained reasonable results (efficiency above 0.55) for more than $_{519}$ 66 % of the 610 rivergages simulated, and rather good results (efficiency above 0.65) for $\frac{520}{200}$ more than 36 % of them. It was found that worse results were obtained during the driest ⁵²¹ years, which is more likely due to the fact that only few aquifers are simulated explicitly. ₅₂₂ These comparisons show that SIM is quite robust both in space and time, and gives ⁵²³ a good estimation of the water fluxes. As the ISBA surface scheme is used in weather 524 forecast and climate models, it is important to estimate the quality of the simulated latent ₅₂₅ heat flux. The comparison with the observed riverflow, associated with some hypotheses, 526 permits an estimation that the error is less than 4% on annual average.

 $\frac{527}{227}$ Since 2003, the SIM system has been used operationally at Meteo-France: for each D, ⁵²⁸ it performs an atmospheric analysis and hydrological simulation of day D-1. It is the first

₅₂₉ time that such a system is used to monitor the water budget of France in real time, and especially, to estimate the soil wetness. The soil wetness can be used to estimate the flood risk, or to monitor the spatial and temporal evolution of a drought. Such information is now part of the national hydrological bulletin of the French environnment ministry (www.eaufrance.fr), which is published monthly.

 The SIM operational application is also used to prescribe the initial condition for an ensemble riverflow forecasts system over all of France. The 10-day ensemble precipita- tion forecast are taken from the Eucopean Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts ⁵³⁷ (ECMWF), and then disaggregated in space. They are then employed as an input for the ISBA-MODCOU hydrometeorological system to make 10-day forecasts of the riverflows (Rousset et al., 2006 Rousset et al., 2007).

540 As in the NLDAS and CALDAS projects (Mitchell et al., 2004, Balsamo et al., 2006), ⁵⁴¹ the operational hydrometeorological model SIM can also be used to prescribe the initial ₅₄₂ soil moisture conditions of a mesoscale weather model. Some first attempts have been ⁵⁴³ made with the Meso-NH mesoscale model (Donier et al., 2003) and such an approach ⁵⁴⁴ could be generalised in the near future.

⁵⁴⁵ It is planned to increase the period of time covered by the SIM system in order to be able ⁵⁴⁶ to use it for climatological and statistical analyses. For instance, in the Seine basin, 18 ⁵⁴⁷ years of the SAFRAN analysis were used with the ISBA-MODCOU hydrometeorological $_{548}$ model in studies by Boé et al. (2006) and Boé et al. (2007) in order to disaggregate in ⁵⁴⁹ space and time the simulation of a climate model. It was also used estimate the ability ₅₅₀ of this climate model to reproduce the observed present day conditions.

⁵⁵¹ **Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank the French National Program for the ⁵⁵² Research in Hydrology (PNRH) for its financial support in this action.

References

- ⁵⁵³ Artinyan, E., F. Habets, J. Noilhan, E. Ledoux, D. Dimitrov, E. Martin, P. Le ⁵⁵⁴ Moigne, (2007), Modelling the water budget and the riverflows of the Maritsa basin ₅₅₅ in Bulgaria, submitted to *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, available online ⁵⁵⁶ www.copernicus.org/EGU/hess/hessd/4/475/hessd-4-475.pdf
- ₅₅₇ Balsamo, G., JF. Mahfouf, S. Bélair and G. Deblonde, (2007), A global root-zone soil ⁵⁵⁸ moisture analysis using simulated L-band brightness temperature in preparation for the
- ⁵⁵⁹ Hydros satellite mission. J of Hydromet., to appear
- ⁵⁶⁰ Benoit, R, P. Pellerin, N. Kouwen, H. Ritchie, N. Donaldson, P. Joe, and E.D. Soulis ⁵⁶¹ (2000) Toward the use of coupled atmopspheric and hydrologic models at regional scale, ⁵⁶² Mon. Wea. Rev., 128, 1681-1706
- ⁵⁶³ Bo´e, J. L. Terray, F. Habets and E. Martin (2006), A simple statistical-dynamical downsequence is scaling scheme based on weather types and conditionnal resampling, J. Geophys. Res, $\frac{111}{11}$, D23106.
- ⁵⁶⁶ Bo´e, J. L. Terray, F. Habets and E. Martin (2007), Statistical and dynamical downscaling ₅₆₇ of the Seine basin climate for hydro-meteorological studies, *International Journal of* ⁵⁶⁸ Climatology, accepted
- ⁵⁶⁹ Boone, A., J. C. Calvet and J. Noilhan (1999), Inclusion of a third soil layer in a land ₅₇₀ surface scheme using the force-restore method, J.Appl.Meteorol., 38, 1611-1630.

- $_{571}$ Boone, A. and P. Etchevers (2001), An inter-comparison of three snow schemes of varying ₅₇₂ complexity coupled to the same land-surface model: Local scale evaluation at an Alpine site, J.Hydrometeorol., 2, 374-394.
- Boone, A., Habets F., Noilhan J., Clark D., Dirmeyer P., Fox S., Gusev Y., Haddeland I.,
- Koster R., Lohmann D., Mahanama S., Mitchell K., Nasonova O., Niu G. Y., Pitman
- A., Polcher J., Shmakin A. B., Tanaka K., Van den Hurk B., Verant S., Verseghy
- D., Viterbo P., and Yang Z. L., (2004), The Rhone-aggregation land surface scheme $\frac{578}{578}$ intercomparison project: An overview, J.Clim. 17, (1), 187-208.
- Caballero, Y., S. Morel, F. Habets, J. Noilhan, P. LeMoigne, A. Lehenaff, A. Boone, (2007), Hydrological sensitivity of the Adour-Garonne river basin to climate change,
- Water Res. Res. In press doi:10.1029/2005WR004192
- Chen, F., Z. Janjic and K. Mitchell (1997), Impact of atmopsheric surface layer parame-₅₈₃ terizations in the new land-surface scheme of the NCEP mesoscale Eta model, *Bounday* Layer Meterol. 85, 391-421.
- Donier S., F. Habets, P. Lacarrre, P. Le Moigne, J. Noilhan (2003) Initialisation of soil
- ⁵⁸⁶ moisture in mesoscale atmospherical model *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, Vol.5 05467
- Durand, Y., E. Brun, L. Merindol, G. Guyomarc'h, B. Lesaffre and E. Martin (1993), A meteorological estimation of relevant parameters for snow models, Annales Geophysicae, $18, 65-71.$
- Etchevers, P., Y. Durand and F. Habets, E. Martin and J. Noilhan (2001), Impact of ⁵⁹¹ spatial resolution on the hydrological simulation of the Durance high-Alpine catchment,

France A. Glaciol., 32, 87-92.

- ⁵⁹³ Etchevers, P., C. Golaz and F. Habets (2001), Simulation of the water budget and the $\frac{594}{100}$ river flows of the Rhone basin from 1981 to 1994, *J. Hydrol.*, 244, 60-85.
- ⁵⁹⁵ Etchevers, P., C. Golaz, F. Habets and J. Noilhan (2002), Impact of a climate ₅₉₆ change on the Rhone river catchment hydrology, J. Geophy. Res., 107 (D16), doi: $_{597}$ 10.1029/2001JD000490.
- ⁵⁹⁸ Golaz-Cavazzi, C., P. Etchevers, F. Habets, E. Ledoux, and J. Noilhan, (2001), Compar-
- ₅₉₉ ison of two hydrological simulations of the Rhone basin, *Physics and Chemistry of the*
- $\frac{600}{200}$ Earth Part B, 26, iss. 5-6, p. 461-466.
- ⁶⁰¹ Gomez, E., E. Ledoux, P. Viennot, C. Mignolet, M. Benoit, C. Bornerand, C. Schott B.
- ⁶⁰² Mary, G. Billen, A. Ducharne and D. Brunstein (2003), An integrated modelling tool
- $\frac{603}{100}$ for nitrates transport in a hydrological system: Application to the river Seine Basin, La ⁶⁰⁴ Houille Blanche, 3 -2003, 38-45.
- ⁶⁰⁵ Habets, F., P. Etchevers, C. Golaz, E. Leblois, E. Ledoux, E. Martin, J. Noilhan and C.
- ⁶⁰⁶ Ottle (1999a), Simulation of the water budget and the river flows of the Rhone basin, $\frac{507}{607}$ J. Geophy. Res., 104, 31145-31172.
- ⁶⁰⁸ Habets, F., J. Noilhan, C. Golaz, J. P. Goutorbe, P. Lacarrere, E. Leblois, E. Ledoux, E.
- ⁶⁰⁹ Martin, C. Ottle and D. Vidal-Madjar (1999b), The Isba surface scheme in a macroscale ⁶¹⁰ hydrological model applied to the Hapex-Mobilhy area. Part I: Model and database, J. $_{611}$ Hydrol., 217, 75-96.
- ⁶¹² Habets, F., J. Noilhan, C. Golaz, J. P. Goutorbe, P. Lacarrere, E. Leblois, E. Ledoux, E.
- ⁶¹³ Martin, C. Ottle and D. Vidal-Madjar (1999c), The Isba surface scheme in a macroscale
- ⁶¹⁴ hydrological model applied to the Hapex-Mobilhy area. Part I: Simulation of streamflows
- δ ₆₁₅ and annual water budget, *J. Hydrol.*, 217, 97-118.

- ⁶¹⁶ Henriksen H., Troldborg L., Nyegaard P., Sonnenborg T., Refsgaard J., Madsen B. (2003),
- ⁶¹⁷ Methodology for construction, calibration and validation of a national hydrological ⁶¹⁸ model for Denmark, *J. Hydrol.*, 280, 52-71
- ⁶¹⁹ Ivanov, V.Y, E.R Vivoni, R.L. Bras and D. Entekhabi (2004), Catchment hydrologic ₆₂₀ response with a fully distributed triangulated irregular network model. Water Resour. $Res. 40, W1102, doi:10.1029/2004WRR003218.$
- ⁶²² Ledoux, E., G. Girard, G. De Marsily and J. Deschenes (1989), Spatially distributed ω ₆₂₃ modeling: Conceptual approach, coupling surface water and ground-water, in Unsatu- ϵ_{24} rated flow hydrologic modeling: theory and practice, edited by H. J. Morel-Seytoux, pp. ⁶²⁵ 435-454, NATO Sciences Service.
- ⁶²⁶ Liang, X., D.P. Letenmaier, E.F. Wood, S.J. Burges (1994), A simple hydrologically based
- $\epsilon_{0.57}$ model of land surface water and energy fluxes for general circulation models. J. Geophys. $Res. 99$ (D7) 14,415-14,48
- ⁶²⁹ Masson, V., J. L. Champeaux, F. Chauvin, C. Meriguet and R. Lacaze (2003), A global ⁶³⁰ database of land surface parameters at 1 km resolution in meteorological and climate ⁶³¹ models, *J. Clim.*, 16, 1261-1282.
- ⁶³² Mitchell, K. E., D. Lohmann, P. R. Houser, E. F. Wood, J. C. Schaake, A. Robock, B. ⁶³³ A. Cosgrove, J. Sheffield, Q. Duan, L. Luo, R. W. Higgins, R. T. Pinker, J. D. Tarpley, ⁶³⁴ D. P. Lettenmaier, C. H. Marshall, J. K. Entin, M. Pan, W. Shi, V. Koren, J. Meng, ⁶³⁵ B. H. Ramsay, and A. A. Bailey, (2004), The multi-institution north american land ⁶³⁶ data assimilation system (nldas): Utilizing multiple gcip products and partners in a ϵ_{37} continental distributed hydrologicalmodeling system. J. Geophys. Res., 109 D07S90, ⁶³⁸ doi:10.1029/2003JD003823.

- ⁶³⁹ Miller, J.R, L.G. Russel and G. Caliri (1994), Continental scale river flow in climate ⁶⁴⁰ models, *Journal of Climate*, 7, 914-928
- ⁶⁴¹ Nash, J. E. and J. V. Sutcliffe (1970), River flow forecasting through conceptual models, μ_{642} J. Hydrol., $10(3)$, 282-290.
- ⁶⁴³ Noilhan, J. and P. Lacarrère (1995), GCM gridscale evaporation from mesoscale modeling μ_{644} J. Climate, $8(2)$, 206-223.
- ⁶⁴⁵ Noilhan, J. and J-F. Mahfouf (1996), The ISBA land surface parameterization scheme, ⁶⁴⁶ Global Planet. Change, 13, 145-159.
- ⁶⁴⁷ Noilhan, J. and S. Planton (1989), A simple parameterization of Land Surface Processes ₆₄₈ for meteorological Models, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 117, 536-549.
- ⁶⁴⁹ Reed, S., V. Koren, M. Smith Z. Zhang F. Moreda, D-J. Seo (2004), Overall distributed $\frac{650}{650}$ model intercomparison project results. *J. of Hydrol.*, 298, 27-60
- ⁶⁵¹ Ritter, B. and J. F. Geleyn (1992) A comprehensive radiation scheme for numerical ₆₅₂ weather prediction models with potential applications in climate simulations. Monthly ⁶⁵³ Weather Review, 120, 303-325.
- ⁶⁵⁴ Rousset, F., F. Habets, E. Gomez, P. LeMoigne, S. Voirin-Morel, J. Noilhan and E.
- ⁶⁵⁵ Ledoux (2004), Hydrometeorological modeling of the Seine basin using hte SAFRAN-
- ⁶⁵⁶ ISBA MODCOU system, J. Geophys. Res., 109, doi:10.1029 /2003JD004403.
- ⁶⁵⁷ Rousset-Regimbeau, F., F. Habets, E. Martin, 2006 Ensemble streamflow forecast over ⁶⁵⁸ the entire France Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol.8 01962
- ⁶⁵⁹ Rousset-Regimbeau, F., F. Habets, E. Martin, J. Noilhan (2007) Ensemble stream-
- $\frac{660}{1000}$ flow forecasts over France *ECMWF Newsletter*, 111 21-27 (available online:
- $\frac{661}{661}$ www.ecmwf.int/publications/newsletters/pdf/111.pdf)

- ⁶⁶² Van der Hurk et al. 2005, ELDAS Final Report December 2001- December 2004, available ⁶⁶³ in www.knmi.nl/samenw/eldas/
- ⁶⁶⁴ Voirin-Morel, S. (2003), Mod´elisation distribu´ee des flux d'eau et d'´energie et des ⁶⁶⁵ débits à l'échelle régionale du bassin Adour Garonne, PhD Thesis, 292 pp, Univer-⁶⁶⁶ sité Paul Sabatier - Toulouse III, Toulouse, France. (http://www.cig.ensmp.fr/ hy- $\frac{667}{100}$ dro/THE/the.htm)
- ⁶⁶⁸ Quintana Segu´ı, P, P. Le Moigne, Y. Durand, E. Martin, F. Habets, M. Baillon, L. ⁶⁶⁹ Franchisteguy, S. Morel and J.Noilhan, (2007), The SAFRAN atmospheric analysis. ⁶⁷⁰ Description and Validation. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology Accepted ϵ_{671} Willmot, C. J. (1981), On the validation of models, *Phys. Geog.*, 2, 184-194.
- 672 Wood, E. F., et al. (1998), The Project for Intercomparison of Land-Surface Parame-
- ϵ_{673} terization Scheme (PILPS) Phase-2(c) Red-Arkansas river experiment: I. Experiment
- $\frac{674}{674}$ description and summary intercomparisons, *Global Planet. Change*, 19, 115-135.
	- 6. figures
- 675 Figure 1: The SIM hydrometeorological model consists in of three independant modules: ⁶⁷⁶ the SAFRAN atmospherical analysis, the ISBA land surface model, and the MODCOU ⁶⁷⁷ hydrogeological model
- ⁶⁷⁸ Figure 2: Topography and hydrographic network

⁶⁷⁹ Figure 3: Simulated aquifers (cells) and main aquifers as defined in the BDRHF (Base 680 de Données sur le Référentiel Hydrogéologique Franais; http://sandre.eaufrance.fr) hydo-⁶⁸¹ geolocical database (dashed)

- ⁶⁸² Figure 4: The main types of vegetation from the ecoclimap-france data base
- ⁶⁸³ Figure 5: The 10-day evolution of the NDVI for the main crop types
	- D R A F T June 20, 2007, 4:07pm D R A F T

⁶⁸⁴ Figure 6: Mean annual precipitation in mm/year. The encapsulated graph presents the annual precipitation for each year on average over the selected basin

Figure 7: Mean monthly precipitation averaged on the main basin

 Figure 8: Daily observed (black circle) and simulated (line) riverflows at the outlet of the four main rivers. The scale vay for each gage. The title includes the mean observed 689 discharge on the period *Qobs*, the discharge ratio $Qsim/Qobs$ and the efficiency E.

 Figure 9: Efficiency (top), discharge error (middle), and index of agreement (bottom) for each simulated rivergages plotted versus the upstream area of the rivergages. The $\frac{692}{100}$ circles represent the rivergages, and the line is the linear regression (x-axis is log). The encapsulated graphs represent the histogramm of the statistical results.

 Figure 10: Spatial representation of the efficiency for each rivergage and the correspond-ing river network.

 Figure 11: Spatial representation of the discharge ratio for each rivergages and the corresponding river network.

 Figure 12: Evolution of the efficiency (circles) and discharge ratio (squares) on average on 5 large basins and on average for all of France. Only the rivergages with more than 200 days available each year (and with positive values of the efficiency) were taken into account. Their number is indicated on the plots

 Figure 13: Relation between the efficiency and the observed discharge on average on the selected rivergages of each basin. The line correspond to the linear regression for a given basin

 Figure 14: Spatial distribution of the bias on the 10-year simulation of the piezometric head simulated by SIM

⁷⁰⁷ Figure 15: Evolution of the observed (symbol) and simulated (line) piezometric head ⁷⁰⁸ for one given station over each layer of the Seine and Rhone aquifers

⁷⁰⁹ Figure 16: Snow depth observed (black dots) and simulated (crosses) average on several ⁷¹⁰ gages according to their altitude (the average is computed each day on the stations with π ¹¹ available data). The bottom right panel presents the evolution of the simulated snow 712 depth on the selected stations of the 4 levels (the same number of stations is used each $_{713}$ day to compute the average). Levels 750-1250m : black thick line; 1250-2000 gray line; $_{714}$ over 2000m thin black line. The square correlation (R2) and the bias in cm (B) are given ⁷¹⁵ in the subtitle

⁷¹⁶ Figure 17: Same as previous figure but on average on an annual cycle

 $_{717}$ Figure 18: Water and energy budgets over the 4 main basins. The thick black line is ⁷¹⁸ the total precipitation (Precip), and its thickness represents the snowfall. Evaporation $_{719}$ (Evap), total runoff (Runoff) and latent heat flux (LEW) have an error bar that was ⁷²⁰ estimated according to the error between the observed and simulated discharge. This τ_{21} error is shown in the energy budget pannel (bottom pannel) (Err) in order to compare γ ²² with the net radiation (RN) and the sensible heat flux (H).

⁷²³ Figure 19: 10-year average bowen ratio (H/LE) (left) and 10-year average ratio of the ⁷²⁴ evaporation to precipitation (right).

 $_{725}$ Figure 20: 10-day evolution of the soil water index (SWI) on the 3 sites plotted in figure ⁷²⁶ 19. The encapsulated graph is the annual average

7. tables

 $_{727}$ table 1: Main characteristics of the water budget of the 4 main basins: E: mean annual ⁷²⁸ evaporation, RO: mean annual total runoff, Err: averaged 10 years annual error computed

Table 1. Main characteristics of the water budget of the 4 main basins: E: mean annual evaporation, RO: mean annual total runoff, Err: averaged 10 years annual error computed with the observed riverflow (in mm/year and in W/m^2), Err/E: percentage of the error compared to the mean annual evaporation, max Err: maximal annual error on the 10 years period, estimated with the observed riverflow, max Err/E: percentage of this maximal error compared to the annual evaporation of the year, year max: year where the error is maximal, RN: Net radiation, H: sensible heat flux, LE: latent heat flux.

Basin	Rhone	Seine	Garonne	Loire	
	Beaucaire	Paris	Tonneins	Nantes	
Surface (km^2)	96412	43509	50430	112187	
Ρ $\left(mm/year\right)$	1189	820	956	834	
(mm/year) Е	590	573	634	574	
$\left(mm/year\right)$ RO	599	243	324	259	
(mm/year) Err	26	18	-10	14	
Err/E	4.4%	3.1%	1.6%	2.4%	
max annual Err $(mm/year)$	92	42	-51	49	
max annual Err/E %	15%	8%	-9%	8\%	
year max annual error	2000-2001	2003-2004	2004-2005	2000-2001	
$Err (W/m^2)$	1.7	1.5	-0.8	$1.1\,$	
$RN(W/m^2)$	63.0	61.8	68.7	64.5	
(W/m^2) H.	15.3	16.4	18.4	19.1	
(W/m^2) LE	46.9	45.6	50.3	45.6	

with the observed riverflow (in mm/year and in W/m^2), Err/E : percentage of the error ⁷³⁰ compared to the mean annual evaporation, max Err: maximal annual error on the 10 years $_{731}$ period, estimated with the observed riverflow, max Err/E : percentage of this maximal ⁷³² error compared to the annual evaporation of the year, year max: year where the error is ⁷³³ maximal, RN: Net radiation, H: sensible heat flux, LE: latent heat flux.

 table 2: Mean annual water and energy budget on the 3 gridcells indicated in figure 19 Precip: total precipitation, Evap: evapotranspiration, H: sensible heat flux, LE: latent ⁷³⁶ heat flux (same as Evap, but expressed in W/m^2), RN: Net radiation, E/P: ratio of the evaporation over the precipitation, H/LE: Bowen ratio

Table 2. Mean annual water and energy budget on the 3 gridcells indicated in figure 19 Precip: total precipitation, Evap: evapotranspiration, H: sensible heat flux, LE: latent heat flux (same as Evap, but expressed in W/m^2), RN: Net radiation, \mathbf{E}/\mathbf{P} ratio of the evaporation over the precipitation, H/LE: Bowen ratio

Site	Precip	Evap		LΕ	RN	E/P	ΊE н
	mm/year	mm/year	W/m^2	W/m^2	W/m^2		
Vienne	637	507	34	40	76	0.80	0.88
Bouches du Rhone	650	428	29	34	63	0.65	0.86
reuse.	1167	675		53	65	0.58	. ററ

⁷³⁸ 1) un peu de texte

Figure 1. The SIM hydrometeorological model consists in three independant modules: the SAFRAN atmospherical analysis, the ISBA land surface model, and the MODCOU hydrogeological model

Figure 2. Topography and hydrographic network

Figure 3. Simulated aquifers (cells) and main aquifers as defined in the BDRHF (Base de Données sur le Référentiel Hydrog´eologique Franais; http://sandre.eaufrance.fr) hydogeolocical database (dashed)

Figure 4. The main types of vegetation from the ecoclimap-france data base

Figure 5. The 10-day evolution of the NDVI for the main crop types

Figure 6. Mean annual precipitation in mm/year. The encapsulated graph presents the annual precipitation for each year on average over the selected basin

Monthly average

Figure 7. Mean monthly precipitation averaged on the main basin

Figure 8. Daily observed (black circles) and simulated (lines) riverflows at the outlet of the four main rivers. The scale vay for each gage. The title includes the mean observed discharge on the period Qobs, the discharge ratio Qsim/Qobs and the efficiency E.

Figure 9. Efficiency (top), discharge error (middle), and index of agreement (bottom) for each simulated rivergages plotted versus the upstream area of the rivergages. The circles represent the rivergages, and the line is the linear regression (x-axis is log). The encapsulated graphs represent the histogramm of the statistical results.

Figure 10. Spatial representation of the efficiency for each rivergage and the corresponding river network.

Figure 11. Spatial representation of the discharge ratio for each rivergages and the corresponding river network.

Figure 12. Evolution of the efficiency (circles) and discharge ratio (squares) on average on 5 large basins and on average for all of France. Only the rivergages with more than 200 days available each year (and with positive values of the efficiency) were taken into account. Their number is indicated on the plots

Figure 13. Relation between the efficiency and the observed discharge on average on the selected rivergages of each basin. The line correspond to the linear regression for a given basin

Figure 15. Evolution of the observed (symbol) and simulated (line) piezometric head for one given station over each layer of the Seine and Rhone aquifers

Figure 16. Snow depth observed (black dot) and simulated (cross) average on several gages according to their altitude (the average is computed each day on the stations with available data). The bottom right panel presents the evolution of the simulated snow depth on the selected stations of the 4 levels (the same number of stations is used each day to compute the average). Levels 750-1250m : black thick line; 1250-2000 gray line; over 2000m thin black line. The square correlation (R2) and the bias in cm (B) are given in the subtitle

⁷³⁹ 2) un peu de texte

Figure 17. Same as previous figure but on average on an annual cycle

Figure 18. Water and energy budgets over the 4 main basins. The thick black lane is the total precipitation (Precip), and its thickness represents the snowfall. Evaporation (Evap), total runoff (Runoff) and latent heat flux (LEW) have an error bar that was estimated according to the error between the observed and simulated discharge. This error is shown in the energy budget pannel (bottom pannel) (Err) in order to compare with the net radiation (RN) and the sensible heat flux (H).

Figure 19. 10-year average bowen ratio (H/LE) (left) and 10-year average ratio of the evaporation over the precipitation (right).

Figure 20. 10-day evolution of the soil water index (SWI) on the 3 sites plotted in figure 19. The encapsulated graph is the annual average